

## **Ethical rules of publication in the scientific journal PEDOSPHERE RESEARCH**

The ethical principles are based on the rules of publication ethics formulated in *the Statement on the Scopus Journal Selection Process of 2010*, as well as on the recommendations of *the International Commission on Publication Ethics* and are in accordance with *the Act no. 185/2015 Coll. on Copyright - Copyright Act*, which applies in the Slovak Republic. The ethical principles of publication were developed with the intention of adhering to scientific ethics in research as well as in publishing its results.

### **PUBLISHING ETHICS OF AUTHORS**

The manuscript of the article sent to the editors of the journal PEDOSPHERE RESEARCH must meet the following conditions:

- the manuscript of the article may not be in the review procedure in another domestic or foreign journal, nor published in other periodicals, whether domestic or foreign;
- the author(s) is/are responsible for: i) the content of the paper and for its originality; ii) the chosen research methodology; and iii) the interpretation of the published results;
- scientific conclusions that have already been published in other journals (printed or electronic) and are not supplemented by new results will not be published in the PEDOSPHERE RESEARCH; plagiarism is unacceptable and such a contribution cannot be published in this journal;
- the corresponding author is responsible for the correct and accurate citation of the references and sources used in the manuscript according to the guide for authors in PEDOSPHERE RESEARCH;
- if the manuscript of an article has more than one author, they shall agree among themselves on the order in which their names appear; the corresponding author is always published first, followed by co-authors, who do not have to be listed in alphabetical order, but according to their share in the article; this is the prerogative of the authors of the article and the Editorial Board of the journal will not interfere.
- the corresponding author is obliged to cooperate with the Editorial Board of the journal during the review process and to eliminate or correct errors according to the results of the review within a specified period of time, or to supplement the required explanations and results.
- if the corresponding author of the scientific article does not agree with the comments and recommendations of the reviewers, he has the right to request non-publication of the reviewed article, or to rework it and send it for a new review.
- the Editorial Board of the journal is obliged to respect the decision of the authors who decide to withdraw the manuscripts of scientific articles, as they do not share a favourable opinion with the comments of reviewers or the Editorial Board and do not plan to modify them and send them for a new review.